Representative Policy Board Executive Committee South Central Connecticut Regional Water District

Monday, August 3, 2020 at 5:30 p.m.

MEETING TRANSCRIPTION

Attendance:	Committee Members – Mario Ricozzi, Charles Havrda, Bob Harvey, Greg Malloy, Pete Betkoski and Stephen Mongillo
	RPB – Mark Levine
	OCA - Jeffrey Donofrio, Esquire
	RPB Staff: Jennifer Slubowski
	c's 5:30, so we may get started, since most everyone was here quick. The safety moment g is August 31st is International Overdose Awareness Day. Wow.
Mark: That's a mouth	full.
Mario: Oh, look at this	. Amazing. Thank you, Jennifer.
Peter: Jennifer's the b	est.
Greg: Did you see the	paper today?
Stephen: Yeah.	
Greg: On this subject.	
Stephen: Yeah.	
Mark: Yeah, I started	reading it, but I didn't

Mario:

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 It's up due to the... Stephen: Well, it was high before. Mario: We're up yet again. And it's not so much the kids. Jeff: Right. Mario: Which is scary. Okay, so take time, read that, and move on to the RPB Member Memorials. Mark is our guest today. Mark: I'm a guest. All these-Mario: Appreciate-Mark: Emeritus. Can you call me emeritus? Mario: We can call you emeritus. Mark: Emeritus. Mario: Is it Emeritus Mark, or Mark Emeritus? Mark: Mark Emeritus. How's that? I like that better. Let me see, what did I do with that? No. I had looked into

Mark Emeritus. How's that? I like that better. Let me see, what did I do with that? No. I had looked into some stuff about that, and listen, this is what I think, and you can change it any way you want.

Anybody's on the board for 10 years can get a plaque on a table out there, and any exception to this rule has to be voted on by the executive committee. That's what I think.

Mario:

So is it...

Mario:

Absolutely.

August 3, 2020
Mark: But it could be 10, 15, 20, whatever you want to do about the years. That's up to whatever you want to do about that.
Mario: Mark, are you saying that if you're on the board, and you pass away while you're on the board? And you've been there 10 years?
Mark: I didn't think of that one.
Mario: Or, if you were on 10 years, and then you were off for 20, and you passed away?
Mark: Well, you have to pass away to get it, too. If you're on the board for 10 years, maybe you should get a plaque. I don't know. We've only been doing the people that have passed away.
Mario: Correct.
Mark: You know, you've got [crosstalk 00:07:11] me on that, Mario. I didn't think about that. But, I mean, I'm just putting out what I think. It's 10 years, if you're on the board, you should get a plaque.
Bob: Well, not so much you're getting a plaque, you're being recognized with a plaque.
Mark: That's right. That's it.
Jennifer: Can I interrupt for a minute?
Mark: Yeah.
Jennifer: Sorry.

Jennifer:

now at this point?

Mark:

Bob: Has it been kept up?
Jennifer: Yes.
Bob: Yes. Those are all who have served, have been appointed.
Mark: The last time I looked at it, it didn't look like it was kept up. I don't know.
Jennifer: Yeah, we just updated it not too long ago.
Mark: Okay.
Jennifer: Okay?
Mark:
So, I mean, do you want to say, "10 years, if you die while you're on the board." I don't know. I'm just throwing these out. I mean, because I could say, "This is what I think." But it's up to how you want to do it. So, if you want to say, "If you die while you're on the board, you've been there 10 years, you got a plaque."
Mario:
I think it started as a memorial, and I don't know that we want to be putting names out on a table, or wherever it is, because you were just on the board, and you served on the board. I mean, if we keep it to the memorials, then at least we're just recognizing people who were unfortunate, passed away while they were serving.
Charles:
What is the impetus describing this? Because we've done these ones in the past? [crosstalk 00:08:57] about the board acknowledging our service in the lobby there. And, I'm trying to figure out what's driving this other plaque reasoning. I mean, I can appreciate that the two Is it two that are on there

There is a big plaque outside of the Welch Conference Room with every RPB member on it.

Yeah, but you should put one on there for Rick. I don't think there's one for Rick, is there? It's for
Mario:
Jennifer inspected this weekend, because she knew you were on the list, Mark. And she said there are four names.
Mark:
Really? I didn't know that.

Mario: Jen, you want to unmute?

What?
Jennifer:

Bob:
Is one of them Mark?

Mark:

Yeah.

Mark:
I love you too, Bob.

Tiove you too, bob.

Jennifer:

Greg:

It was Rick Albrecht, Sandy Breslin, Jack Leary, and Frank Conroy, were the four on the table.

Mark: Not Dubno, not Dubno.

Not Dubno, not Dubno.

Mark's name is on there because he got a spider bite.

Mark:

I almost died for that. Charles, how it happened, Charles was that they gave Sandy recognition in Bethany and put it on a bench.

Charles:

Yeah, I understand that, but I'm trying to, going forward, I mean, I could... Somebody [crosstalk 00:10:16].

Mark:

Maybe we should just say whenever then. Have no rule, and it's decided by the executive committee who should be on there.

Charles:

And was Sandy's a donation, or did somebody request it?

Mark:

I requested it, because Sandy had done a lot of stuff off board for that, to get our...

Charles:

That's my point, though. I mean, I could see somebody's special efforts being recognized, and that type of thing. I mean, I hate to be crass about it, but we're all going to die.

Mark:

No, not you, Charles.

Charles:

Well, I'm trying not to... What's going to happen is you're going to have a table full of plaques eventually, when we're not around, and it's a few years down the pike here, and I'm just trying to see what the impetus. I could see, when somebody requested for special recognition, and that type of thing.

Mark:

That's why I'm saying-

Charles:

Than just to do it automatically, because I think the one that the lobby acknowledges our appointments, and I'm struggling with it, the other [inaudible 00:11:29], I don't know which [crosstalk 00:11:30].

Mark:

Well, that's what I'm saying, then go could go just to make it, and I struggled with this whole thing, and that's why I never came back with anything, because nothing really made sense. So I mean, what you should do now, is just say that you put a plaque on for somebody who you want to recognize, and it'd be determined by the executive committee.

Charles:

Yeah, a special recognition for some special efforts, or something like that, I have no problem with.

Mark:

Yeah, but who to vote on? Does the whole board vote on it? Or does the executive committee?

Charles:

Well, I think somebody makes a proposal, and makes an argument for their case, and in the RPB decides.

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Mark: The whole RPB? Charles: Yeah, it goes through whatever committee they made their special efforts in, whether it be land use, or finance, or something, somebody made some special efforts, or whatever, and that committee would determine that they want to recommend it, and then it go to the RPB, and it would happen. Mark: That sounds good to me. Like I said, it's anything. Anything works, as far as I'm concerned. Stephen: This is Steve. I agree with Charles. I think it should be limited to special service for some reason that is nominated by, could be the executive committee, but the whole board should vote on it. So, it's those people who put out a special effort for one reason or another, they get recognized. But otherwise it just becomes a huge deal that doesn't mean anything with everybody's name eventually on it. Mark: Yeah, sounds good to me. Greg: This is Greg, I agree with that, too. Mario: Okay. Greg: If you do it the other way, you're going to need about five benches. Mark: I think they should have more benches out there anyhow, but that's my opinion. Mario: That's one of the reasons why we've had this on the agenda, to try and figure out some method. Bob? Charles: We've had the issue with [inaudible 00:13:37] families want to recognize a family member or something like that, and maybe we could do something where family could make a... Whether it be a bench, or

whatever, or it could be [inaudible 00:13:55] property, or something, and let that be known that that's

an option something. That's all.

Mark:

August 3, 2020 Yeah, I think that's right. I mean... Oh, did I lose you or something here? Did I do something wrong? Mario: No, you're still there. Stephen: That's another good suggestion. Anybody that wants to pay for a bench, the money could go to the recreation program, and they can honor somebody on it. Charles: Right. And then they can add their name on it, and place it someplace. Mark: What are you saying, anybody? Did you say anybody? Charles: No, no. Obviously a board member. Mark: Steve was saying that. Steve, did you say that? Stephen: Talking about anybody on the board. Mark: Oh. Stephen: That's been on the board. If their family wanted to donate a bench, and put their name on it, you know-Charles: Actually, you might want to even talk to management. Anybody in the organization. I mean, families looking for a way to recognize their loved ones, it might be a nice gesture. Mark:

That's for sure. Sounds good to me. Anyway you want to do it. I mean, this is what happened when we first discussed it. So, I think we should just leave it that the executive committee decides itself, or it could be the land use committee, consumer affairs, finance committee, recommend somebody whose name be put on the bench.

Mario:

Bob, you've been quiet.

Peter:

There you go.

August 3, 2020
Bob: Yeah. The other thing that comes up, what if one of the volunteers who's done a lot of work for the recreation program out at Saltonstall, wants to also do something, is there something that says that it can't be done?
Mark: It just wouldn't say board member. I think they'll say board members on it, and not RPB member. Does it say RPB member, Jennifer? Hello?
Jennifer: Hang on. I had unmute. I don't know if it does, honestly. I don't think it does.
Mark: It should say that I think
Stephen: It should, yeah.
Jennifer: Yeah, no, I think it's just the names.
Greg: Just going back. It's Frank Conroy, Sandy, who else is on it?
Bob: Jack Leary
Greg: Jack Leary?
Bob: Rick Albrecht
Mark: He was a former board chairman.
Charles: And just his years of service I would have voted for.

Representative Policy Board Executive Committee August 3, 2020
Charles:
Yeah.
Greg:
Yeah. [inaudible 00:16:22]
Mark:
They've been on a long time.
Greg: Who Jack?
WITO Jack:
Charles:
Well Jack too, yeah.
Mark:
Yeah, jack.
Charles:
Okay, I think we beat this one.
Mario:
Yes, we have. Okay. If we want to suggest someone, it'll go to the full RPB.
Manda
Mark: That's right.
That's right.
Mario:
Okay.
Mark:
okay. Can I stay? You want me to stay, or can I go? Or where do you want me to do?
Mario:
Whatever you want.
Mark:
Okay. I'll stay for a while.
Stephen:

You have to stay, Mark.

Executive Committee August 3, 2020
Mark:
Okay.
Mario:
You have to stay now. virtual meetings. I just wanted to touch base with everyone. Are we okay with how they're going in your committees? I know everybody mechanically, seems to have gotten the hang of it, Jennifer, especially, putting all the effort into get us up to speed, and controlling all of our discussions.
Charles:
I don't know the exact date, Mario, but the governor's executive order, whatever I think, is mid- September.
Mario:
Right.
Charles:
Because I know that our board of selectmen meeting, we're looking towards the third week of September being our first live meeting, because we're basing it on the governor's executive order. So I don't know if you're working towards timing when we go back, or what the plan was, but that's where we stand time wise.
Mario:
That's part of the reason I wanted this on here, and it ties in with the upcoming application for Lake Whitney Dam, because that will have a public hearing, and there's confidential information, and we've been able to handle that pretty well, I think, when we've met in person, because we know who's in the room, and we can monitor who's listening in, but it becomes a little more difficult in a meeting, if we're going to have confidential information, and put us on pause, go to executive session, discuss the confidential, and then we might go back into open session. And Jennifer, if she's booted people out, can't let them back in. That becomes a problem.
Stephen:
why can't she let them back in?
Jennifer:
It was setting on the computer, I think, for security purposes. So, I changed it. I turned it off.
Mario:
So now you can let-
Bob:

I was going to say, we try kicking Mark out now, and see if we can bring him back.

Representative Policy Board

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Jeff: Just put them in a separate room. Bob: In the corner. Mario: Jennifer, you're saying that you can excuse someone, and then they can dial back in, and put them in the waiting room? Jennifer: Yeah, I could do that. Yeah. Mario: So you could disconnect them, and they're not disconnected permanently? Okay. Jennifer: Yes. Yeah. Mario: Okay, because that's a change from... Charles: No, they are disconnected. They have to dial back in. Jennifer: They have to dial back in. Mario: Right. Charles: With Zoom, once you leave, then you've got to dial back in. Greg:

But how would they know when to dial back in?

Jennifer:

Well, you know what, I wonder if there's... I might be able to check and see if there's a way to put them into the waiting room, and then let them come back. I can do that.

Jeff:

Oh, definitely

Yeah, I've been doing mediations where we keep everybody in separate rooms, and then when we're ready to get everybody back together, we just merge the rooms. The host can assign people to a separate room.

separate room.
Jennifer:
Okay. All right. I'll look into that.
Mario:
And that's in the Zoom, Jeff?
Jeff:
Yep. Yep.
Mario:
Okay. Excellent.
Bob:
As long as we haven't had any complaints from any guests, or want to be guests, I think we're doing very well.
Greg:
I think it's working well. Yeah.
Charles:
What is the timing of that public hearing?
Peter:
That's the ticket.
Mario:
Probably in September, or October.
Bob:
Probably October.
Charles:
I would hope, unless the governor doesn't feel we're progressing, but boy, I would hope we'd be back by them. But with school opening, and in the election cycle, I don't know this could go either way.
Mario:

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Jeff: It's not [inaudible 00:20:51] that executive order. Mario: What was that, Jeff? Jeff: The executive order is going to be extended. Charles: That wouldn't shock me. Jeff: Yeah. That's CCM, and cost. That's the expectation. Mario: Okay. Charles: Then probably we ought to just plan on doing Zoom meetings until we [crosstalk 00:21:15]. Peter: Exactly. Mario: Okay. Very good. Greg: I think the land use could have a meeting outdoors. Mario: Yes. Peter: We're planning to. Bob: Yeah, we're going to try next week, right?

Peter: Yep.

August 3, 2020
Greg: Yep.
Bob: And we even tried in July except the weather became a problem.
Peter: Exactly.
Mario: Okay. We will continue then, Jennifer. Nominating committee for authority member. I have a bunch of people who asked to be on the nominating committee. Joe Cermola has asked if he can continue. He's trying to meet with the mayor. I'm looking up the list of people. Give me one second.
Stephen: Mario, the mayor suggest somebody? They're supposed to suggest three people, right?
Mario: So, New Haven is supposed to suggest three people. In the past, the mayors have only suggested one. We're trying to get response back from them by some time in, I think late August.
Stephen: So even if Joe wants to do this, he still has to get appointed by the mayor?
Mario: Oh, absolutely. His name has to be on the list.
Stephen: Okay.
Mario: Yeah.
Stephen: But you've been in touch with the New Haven office?
Mario: Yeah, we have a draft letter to them, which I think went out. Jennifer?
Jennifer: No, I'm just waiting for you to confirm that it's ready to go, and I can sign for you.

August 3, 2020
Mario: Oh, yeah, that was all set.
Jennifer: Okay. All right. I will get that out tomorrow then. All right.
Mario: All right.
Peter: Mario, Peter.
Mario: Yes.
Peter: Bring me up to speed on this nominating committee. I mean, I thought we just did this for the authority?
Mario: Ah, so it's two different nominating committees that we have every year.
Peter: Okay.
Mario: One is for the RPB, and that's the committee that just met.
Peter: Right.
Mario: And so they recommend a board for June. May, June.
Peter: Okay.
Mario:

And then, each year, one of the five members, they have a five year term. So every year a five member board member comes up. So this year it happens to be the New Haven representative. New Haven's a little unique, because one representative out of the five members who, there was an agreement at the beginning of the organization, whereby New Haven will provide three names for the nominating

Representative Policy Board Executive Committee

committee to select from.

16

Representative Policy Board Executive Committee August 3, 2020
Peter: Okay.
Mario: Okay. All the other positions are open. They just have to live in one of the member communities.
Charles: And we also want to point out that New Haven has the most weighted votes, by far.
Mario: West Haven is close.
Charles: Oh, okay. I thought New Haven-
Greg: I think Milford's closer.
Mario: But they definitely have the most votes.
Greg: Yeah.
Peter: I understand now, Mario. Thank you.
Mario: Okay, and those appointments I believe is a January, they take place in January. So, December is when the board would vote, and the person would take position in January.
Peter: Gotcha. Okay.
Mario: Okay?
Peter: Yep. Thanks.
Greg:

One year Mayor DeStefano submitted three names. They were all Joe Cermola.

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Mario: I did not realize that, Greg. Greg: Yeah, yeah. Stephen: Yeah, that's true. We did have a year where he was the only one. And we decided not to push it. Greg: Yup. Mario: Right. I hear you. Stephen: But it wasn't correct. It was not the structure that was agreed to. Mario: So usually we put two members from the executive committee, and Greg, I believe, and Charles, and Tim, and Steve have all signed up. I think that's it. Any of you want to be on it more than another? Charles: How do you respond to that? Mario: Okay. Charles: You say we're the only four? Or you can only-Mario: No, no, no, no, there's several other people. Charles: Oh, okay. Mario: But, two are required. No more than three. So, just don't get mad at me, whoever I leave off. Charles:

Okay.

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Stephen: Not a problem Mario, if somebody else wants to do it, I'm fine with it. Mark: I just want to say that on this New Haven thing, they don't have to submit three. We have in the past wrote him a letter, because we wanted him to submit three names. Stephen: No, no, no, no, I think you're wrong, Mark. Mark: I don't know. I don't think I'm wrong on this. They have the right to say-Charles: Yeah, I remember we only got one name. Mark: [crosstalk 00:26:44] Who I want. Charles: Yeah, I remember that conversation one year. Mark: Yeah. I think one year, I think they have the right to put in who they want, just one name they can give you. [crosstalk 00:26:55]. Stephen: No, no. Because I remember having this argument about just accepting that, or going back to the city, and saying, "You have to submit the names, because that was the agreement." Mark: I'm not sure but I thought the way I understood it-Stephen: I'm pretty sure about it. Mark:

.....

We've asked them to submit three names, but they don't have to.

Charles:

I'm pretty sure Steve's right.

Stephen:

No, because it was open, Mark. Anybody, for the other positions. So having three names is a limitation already.

Mark:

I know, but I'm just telling you I don't think that was it. But, we've asked for three, years ago. We [crosstalk 00:27:28].

Mario:

There's an agreement that goes back to, I believe it was Mayor Daniels, which was quite a while ago, and we have that as part of the enclosure to the mayor, that lays out the agreement between the RWA, and the city back then. So, and if I recall correctly, they're supposed to submit three names.

Mark:

Sorry about that. I was not under the [inaudible 00:27:56]. We've asked them for three names. They don't necessarily have to give it to you.

Mario:

Well, we've softened it up.

Stephen:

Yes. That was the agreement.

Mark:

Yeah.

Stephen:

And the idea there was they just don't appoint somebody to the board, that we had some say in that.

Mark:

All right.

Mario:

Yes. Okay? Tim is not here. He has been the orientation officer. I think we have a full slate right now. We do have a couple of people that need to go through orientation. Does anyone else want to deal with the orientations, if Tim is not interested? I think he's still enjoying it.

Charles:

Yeah, I think that just Tim should continue, because it takes a few cycles to get up to speed, and it sounds like he's doing a great job. So, I would support him continuing.

Mario:

Yep. And he has the availability, or he has had.

Bob:

He has the availability, yeah.

Mario:

Yeah. Which is important. Okay. RPB compensation freeze. Just wanted to make sure, I apologize if you didn't understand why I recommended it at the beginning. I did try to get ahold of people, but that darn work got in the way. I have to talk to the chairman of my board. Keeps throwing too much work at me. But, so it's going to the finance committee each quarter, as to whether they recommend continuing the freeze, or if finances are getting better, then they'd recommend releasing it.

Stephen:

We're talking about the freeze on the increase, right?

Mario:

The increase. Just the \$3 and some odd cents a meeting.

Charles:

I still think it's appropriate, even if it's simply a show a support, and everything, but I still think it's appropriate to review it, and make sure that... I would support freezing, but even just taking a look at it at this point in time is still important, because we don't know where this is going to end up. So, that's my position still.

Stephen:

Yeah, if we can have the finance committee just look at it every three months or so, or whatever?

Mario:

Right. No, it's on their agenda, Steve, because they get the financial update the same time.

Stephen:

Okay, that's fine.

Mario:

Anything else on that? In that case, any new business? Anything?

Charles:

I don't know if it's appropriate, but I guess there's no public here right now, anyway. I was a little surprised from Larry's newsletter a few weeks ago, about one of the bargaining units not being supportive. Do you have any more information on that Mario? Are we not privy to that, or just... I mean, Larry put it in one of his newsletters, so it's out there.

Mario:

Greg:

Right. And my understanding from discussions, and this is not official, is that there was a difference in the membership versus the leadership. The leadership was leaning towards some sort of concessions.

and when they brought it back to the membership, the membership went in the other direction. So, I don't really have specifics.
Charles:
But it seemed to indicate, or Larry seemed to indicate in the newsletter, that they are going to look into furloughs, and cutbacks, and all of that kind of stuff.
Mario:
Correct.
Charles:
Will that affect operations, or anything any of the committee can know? Or we'll find out more from finance when they know the effects?
Mario:
I think we'll know more, but he's looking at the groups that aren't really running at 100% right now.
Charles:
Okay.
Bob:
I think they said customer service was cutting back.
Stephen:
Yeah, they were trying to cut the areas that they needed. I don't know how that would fly by the union, if that was a fair way to go, if the Union had not granted that. I don't know if you have to treat all employees the same. I just I think they're still talking, and the negotiations are ongoing.
Mario:
Right. Oh there's definitely talking.
Charles:
I guess we'll know more when it's appropriate. Disappointing in some levels, though.
Greg:
It did hit the paper the day after.
Charles:
Yeah.

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Larry put that out. Mark: That mean that the management is not going to do their thing that they were going to do? The cutbacks, and bonuses? Or that's still in effect? Mario: Oh, no. That's still in effect, Mark. Stephen: Mario? Mario: Yes. Stephen: It's Steve. We did talk briefly about consumer affairs looking at the RPB dashboard. Did you want to talk about that today, or just hold off? Mario: Yeah, no, I just, you wanted to talk about it, and look at it, which is great. Stephen: Yeah, I just wanted to know if the executive committee wanted to look at that first. [inaudible 00:33:49] That up. Maybe we could do it at the next meeting, or something? Mario: We could, if there's a feel that y'all want to get into more detail on that. I think that's what the finance committee has been doing, and I know, consumer affairs, you wanted to take a look at it, Steve, because there might be some criteria [crosstalk 00:34:11]. Stephen: Right now, it just struck me that it was mostly financial reporting. Maybe we should take just a step back, look at the whole thing, and see if there's other issues there that the RPB might want to see as a dashboard indicator, and just kind of review why we're doing it, and what we're getting out of it. That's

all.

Sure.

Stephen:

I mean, we could look at it in my committee first, and then bring it to the executive committee, if we think that's [crosstalk 00:34:42].

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Mario: Yep. Well, that'll be good. Stephen: But maybe land use wants to have some indicator too, that they'd like to look at. Mario: Maybe [inaudible 00:34:55] when you have it on the agenda? Stephen: Wasn't Rick doing this for a long time? He was kind of the motivation behind this. So, I... Jeff: Right. Mark: The problem with that was that it... You're talking about the dashboard where the members fill out how they feel that they're on the board and all that? That the dashboard you're talking about, or are they different dashboards? Mario: No, it's basically where the RWA stands. By the time we got all the data, it was almost a year old. Mark: Yeah. That's right. Yeah. Mario: That's why we went to the one page, shortened version. I think, Steve, when you have it on your agenda, when Rochelle is able to attend, because I think she'll be the one to go over it with you. Mark: I thought it was [inaudible 00:35:44], the IT guys, right Steve? It's going to be our guy? Stephen: There are both of them. They're going to share that I think.

Mark: Okay.

Mario:

Yeah. But maybe you can invite Peter, and also Tim to attend.

24

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Peter: Yeah, I would appreciate that. Mark: Okay. If I could just say one thing, that he put out who reports to who, what reports to what, who's going to do this job, and that job. At one time they had, and I forgot what you call it, you know the-Mario: Table of organization? Mark: Yeah. Could you ask him to do that again? Mario: Yeah. Stephen: Yeah, things have changed quite a bit. Mark: Yeah, I think they should do that again. It'd make a lot of sense, Mario. Mario: It does. I think there's still flux because we have one more retirement coming up. Mark: Yeah, but I mean, why don't you say that when that's done, we should see that? Mario: Yep. Charles: of changes going on. Maybe when they're done, that would be the time to get a another report.

Yeah. Between the virus and the retirements coming up, I think you're right, that there's probably a lot

Mario:

Yep.

Charles:

That almost seems more like a five member issue than RPB. But it's interesting to know that kind of stuff.

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Mario: Yes. Charles: Mario, on the dashboard, I have to go back. But I remember when I first became vice chair, I was reading responsibilities and everything, and one of them for the vice chair was just that. Mario: You got on mute Charles. Jeff: We lose him? Mario: No, he's on mute. Jeff: Okay. Mark: You're off. Mario: You're off mute. Charles: I'm off. I'm unmuted? I don't know. Greg: Oh, you're good now. Mario: You're okay, now. You're good now. Charles: Yeah, I'm sorry. I was getting a phone call. What I was saying is that under the responsibilities of the vice chair, one of them was this dashboard. And maybe now that the dashboard has changed so much, maybe that's not necessary, or something. I don't know, because I'm really not that involved as vice chair in it anymore. It's more, it was a function of the finance committee, frankly. Mario:

Yep. Okay.

Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Charles: I have to dig out the guidelines and check them out, and see. Mario: We can review that, because we are... Hopefully Ted will be available, and David will be available, because we were going to get the committee back together on the rules. The RPB rules, and we're waiting for David, and now his mom passed away. So, we'll get together, and I think that's in the rules. Charles: Okay. Mario: So, we can go over that. Anything else? Bob remains the silent one. Bob: Do we have any indication as to accounts receivable, how we're being affected? Mark: [inaudible 00:39:10] The report, don't ask me. Mario: Yeah, I haven't heard an update. Stephen: I don't think they have it. They didn't bill yet to find out. I don't think they have that information. I don't know. Bob: There's a lot of information that's going to hinge upon how well receivables are. Mario: Yes. Peter:

That's right, Bob.

The last finance report, I believe, was the year end.

Mario:

Bob: Yeah. Representative Policy Board **Executive Committee** August 3, 2020 Mario: And that really doesn't give you a good picture. Stephen: My bill was higher Bob, and I paid it. Bob: You did? Thank you. Greg: I paid mine, too, Bob. Bob: Okay. That'll keep a couple people on. Greg: Hey Bob, that'll come to your salary. Bob: Okay. Thank you. Mario: All right. Anything else for the group? Jeff? Jeff:

Mario:

I'm good.

Okay. Very good. Thank you. We'll stand adjourned. I think our next meeting coming up in August, and we'll get that done.